Sri M. N. RAMANNA.—On a point of order, Sir. You were good enough to say that no zero hour is allowed. It seems zero hour is allowed. Which is correct?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have not allowed it.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ರಾಮಪ್ಪ.—ಅಧ್ಯ' ರ ಅನುಮತಿ ಇಲ್ಲದೆ ಮಾತನಾಡುವ ವಿಚಾರಗಳನ್ನು ರಿಕಾರ್ಡ್ ಮಾಡಬಾರದು ಎಂದು ತಾವು ಹೇಳಬೇಕು. ಇಲ್ಲದೆ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಪಬ್ಲಿಸಿಟಿ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಈಗ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡರು ಮತ್ತು ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್ ಅವರು ಏನೋ ಹೇಳಿದರು.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am not allowing Zero Hour activities.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY (Minister for Law, Labour and Parliamentary Affairs).—Sir, there can be no point of order in a vacuum. When the House is not transacting any business, how can there be any point of order?

* * * *

Notice of an adjournment Motion given by Sri Vatal Nagaraj *re*: Excesses by the Police of Talavadi upon Mysoreans engaged in Talavadi agitation

Sri B. P. GANGADHAR.—Sri Nagaraj told us that he has sent a motion to you.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is disallowed.

Sri B. P. GANGADHAR.—On previous occasions, you have heard all of us in this House and given opportunity to every one of us to make a brief statement on the motion before giving a ruling. An opportunity is being given to the concerned Member who has sent the motion to make a brief explanatory statement to substantiate his motion. Sri Vatal Nagaraj has also sent one such privilege motion. So I request you kindly to give him time to make an explanatory statement so that it may be easy for you to consider its admiss on.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Yesterday this point was considered. After discussion it has been decided yesterday that all these matters may be disposed of in my Chambers and not here; and according to that procedure, I am not going to hear anybody.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I want to say a word about your observation. If I remember correctly what I said was that so far as these motions are concerned, it is better that they are thrashed out in your Chambers. If they have to come here, we must at least have the knowledge of the subject that will come before us, so that we may be in a position to intelligently follow what the motion and objections are. Yesterday when all these things came in the House, we did not know what they were about. We were able to simply know that some hon. Members

* * * * Matter not recorded as ordered by the Chair.

on this side raised something and for that some reply was given. The hon. Member Sri Nanje Gowda came and told me "Is this what you gentleman said?" I only stated : if you come to some understadning in the Chambers well and good. If you permit the subject to be opened here, we must know what the subject that will come before the House is. That is what we stated.

With regard to the matter that is now agitating the mind of this House, I respectfully submit that I know that the Speaker has to follow the rules here. But some times in the interests of the smooth working, rules will have to be suspended or kept in abeyance. There is no Hour called Zero Hour. But by convention, by custom and by habit that has come into vogue. Only we can limit the time for it so that within that time all these things may be over. Now the hon. Member Sri Vatal Nagaraj says that he wants only a very short time. I feel that if his request had been complied with, the whole thing would have been over. I am making a request to you; I am appealing to you to have a pragmatic approach and see that you permit him to speak. Let him say whatever he has got to say with your permission; he can speak only with your permission. Sri Vatal Nagaraj may be permitted to speak for a while so that what the Leader of the House has to say in the matter, may be intelligently followed. This is my humble request.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ (ಹರಿಯಾಪಟ್ಟಣ).—ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಹೆಸರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಒಂದು ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನ ಹೇಳಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ನಿನ್ನೆಯ ದಿವಸ ನಾನು, ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪನವರು ಎಲ್ಲ ನಿಲುವಳಿ ನೂಚನೆ ಮುಂತಾದುವು ಬಂದಾಗ ತಮ್ಮ ಛೇಂಬರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡಿ ಎಂಬುದಾಗಿ ನಾವು ಹೇಳಿದೆವು ಎಂದು ತಾವು ತಿಳಿಸಿದಿರಿ. ನಾನು ಆ ರೀತಿ ಹೇಳಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಬಹಳ ನಮ್ರತೆಯಿಂದ ಅರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಎರಡನೆಯದು ನಾವು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು ನಿಲುವಳಿ ನೂಚನೆ, ಹಕ್ಕುಬಾಧ್ಯತೆ ನೂಚನೆ ಇಂಥವು ಬಂದರೆ ಯಾರು ಅದನ್ನು ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾರೆಯೋ ಅವರು ಏನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಾರೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿದು ಕೊಂಡು, ಯಾರ ಮೇಲೆ ಅದು ಇದೆ, ಆವರು ಏನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಾರೆ ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನೂ ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಂಡು, ಆಮೇಲೆ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡಿ ಎಂದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪನವರು ಹಾಗೂ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪನವರು ಏನು ಹೇಳಿದರು ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಸಭೆಗೆಲ್ಲ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆ. ಅವರೇನೂ ಅರ್ಥ ವಿವರಣೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡ ಬೇಕಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪನವರು, ಇಂಗ್ಲೀಷ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳುವುದಾದರೆ, 'ಬ್ರೀತಿಂಗ್ ಹಾಟ್ ಅಂಡ್ ಕೋಲ್ಡ್' ಎರಡೂ ಒಂದೇ ಸಾರಿ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈ ಹೊತ್ತು ಏನು ಹೇಳಿದರೋ ಅದನ್ನು ನಿನ್ನೆಯೂ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕನುಗುಣವಾಗಿಯೇ ಇದೆ ನಮ್ಮ ನಿಯಮಗಳು. ನಿಲುವಳಿ ನೂಚನೆ ಅಥವಾ ಹಕ್ಕುಬಾಧ್ಯತೆ ನಿರ್ಣಯ, ಇಂಥ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳು ಬಂದಾಗ ತಮ್ಮ ಛೇಂಬರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಆ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟವರಿಂದ ವಿವರಣೆಯನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು. ಮತ್ತು ಸಭೆಗೆ ಬರುವುದಾದರೆ ಸಭೆಗೆ ತಿಳುವಳಿಕೆ ಇರಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪನವರೂ ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಅದನ್ನು ನಾನು ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ಒಪ್ಪುತ್ತೇನೆ, ಸಭೆಯ ತಿಳುವಳಿಕೆಗೆ ಬರಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿಸಬೇಕು. ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ವಿಷಯ ಅಡಕವಾಗಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೋ ಆ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡು ವುದಕ್ಕೆ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪನವರು ಹೇಳಿದ ಅಭಿ ಪ್ರಾಯಗಳೇ ನಮಗೂ ಕೂಡ ಇವೆ ಎಂದು ನಾನು ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರು ಕುಸಿದು ಬಿದ್ದು ತೀರಿ ಹೋದರ ಅವಾಗ ಏನು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ!

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಅವಾಗ ಏನು ಮಾಡಿದರೂ ನನಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

2-30 P.M.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The constitutional position is this. When a notice of a particular motion is given and when that motion requires prior consent of the Speaker for the purpose of being brought before the House, only when consent is given the matter comes up before the House and when consent is not given the matter should not come before the House. The question of giving consent to motions is always decided in my chambers which is a public office and that is the practice in the House of Commons and even in the Lok Sabha. When requests were made by hon. Member that I should permit them to say something, I thought they wanted to ventilate the grievances pertaining to their constituency. I permitted them and I find that permission had been abused. Therefore I have come to the conclusion that I should not permit members to speak on a subject which is not on the agenda. I want that the time of the House should be properly utilised to consider the business on the agenda and therefore I want to proceed according to rules. Such matters should always be considered in my chambers. After giving an opportunity to the hon. Member to explain his point of view I would consider the question of giving or withholding my consent. I request the hon. Members to co-operate with the Chair.

Some hon. Members pointed that Sri Vatal Nagaraj would have said within a minute what he wanted to say. That is not the consideration. We should not create precedents. It is not a question of giving some time. Under the guise of points of order so many things are said. That is not proper. It is very desirable that everybody should be guided by rules and conventions.

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—I am on a point of order.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Nothing has happened for raising a point of order. Let us do business.

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—My point of order is, the hon. member Sri Vatal Nagaraj stood up and requested that he be allowed to make a submission in regard to the events that have taken place, etc. But you were very angry and emotional.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am never angry ; and never emotional.

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—For me you looked to be angry and emotional, and you said 'no, no, I am not going to allow.' The hon. Member stood up and said that you must allow or else he would not allow business to take place. The position is this. Rule 293 states that by way of personal explanation if any member requests you to permit him to speak and offer explanation, generally the convention, in the Parliament as well as in the legislatures, is that the Speaker will be pleased to permit such members to offer their explanations. Here a big thing has taken place. He was arrested. In the circumstances I would have been very much happy if Sri Vatal Nagaraj had been permitted to

speak under the rules. I want to know the ruling of the Chair in this regard.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is no such request before me. It requires notice. Hon. member's request was for permission to raise a question of breach of privilege and I have disallowed it.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎನ್. ನಂಜೇಗೌಡ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಎಲ್ಲ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ಲಿಸ್ಟ್ ಆಫ್ ಬಿಜಿನಸ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಕಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ. ಹಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೋತ್ತರ ಕಾಲದ ನಂತರ ಇಂಥ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಎತ್ತಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ರೂಲ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುತ್ತಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದುದರಿಂದ ಈ ಕ್ರಮ ತಾವೇ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬಾರದು. 293 ರೂಲ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಪರ್ಸನಲ್ ಎಕ್ಸ್‌ಪ್ಲನೇಷನ್ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಕೂಡ ಮಾಡಬಹುದು. ನದಸ್ಯರಿಗೂ ಅದೇ ರೀತಿ ಅವಕಾಶ ಇರಬೇಕು. ಆದುದರಿಂದ ತಾವು ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ತಮ್ಮ ರೂಲಿಂಗನ್ನು ಪುನರ್ ವಿಮರ್ಶಿಸಿ ಈಗ ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಇರುವ ಹಾಗೆ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I do not see any reason why I should change my ruling. I find there is no merit in the point of order.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ, ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ ಅವರು ನಭೆಗೆ ಬಂದಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸಬೇಕಾದುದು ನಮ್ಮ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯ. ಈ ರಾಜ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಇರತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಕನ್ನಡೇತರರನ್ನು ಕಾಪಾಡತಕ್ಕಂಥಾದ್ದು ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ ಇದೆ. ಅದೇ ರೀತಿ ತಮಿಳುನಾಡಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ ಇದೆ. ಇದು ಗಂಭೀರವಾದ ವಿಷಯ. ಉದ್ವೇಕಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡದೆ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜರವರು ವಾಸ್ತವಾಂಶ ತಿಳಿಸುವ ಎರಡು ಮಾತು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ತಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ವಿಷಯ ಹೇಳುವುದನ್ನು ಅವರು ಎರಡು ನಿಮಿಷದಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಗಿಸಬಹುದು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am sorry. I have already stated that. Since it is not on the agenda I cannot allow it.

I shall read the letter of Sri Vatal Nagaraj which is as follows :

"ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಹಕ್ಕುಬಾಧ್ಯತಾ ನಿರ್ಣಯವನ್ನು ಇಂದು ನಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಂಡಿಸಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ನೀಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಎನಂತಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ನೂಚನೆ, ದಿನಾಂಕ 28-1-1970 ನೇ ಬುಧವಾರ ತಾಳವಾಡಿ ಚಳುವಳಿ ನಂಬಂಧದಲ್ಲಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಗಡಿಯೊಳಕ್ಕೆ ನುಗ್ಗಿ ತಾಳವಾಡಿ ಪೋಲಿಸಿನವರು ಒತ್ತಾಯದಿಂದ ನಮ್ಮನ್ನು ದನ್ತಗಿರಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದು."

I have said that I have recorded an order on it saying that this does not amount to breach of privilege in the light of the ruling that I had given yesterday in similar circumstances. Under these circumstances I have disallowed it. If he wants to make a statement, let him send a notice in writing to me and I will certainly consider it. Now Call-Attention motion may be taken up.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್.—ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ.....

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ನಾನು ಮಾನ್ಯ ನದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್.—ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ, ನನಗೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು.* * *

Mr. SPEAKER.—I do not permit the hon. member ; he will please resume his seat. Will you resume your seat ?

---

* * * Matter not recorded as ordered by the Chair.

Sri VATAL NAGARAJ.—No.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I direct the hon. Member Sri Vatal Nagaraj to withdraw from the House and not participate in the proceedings of the House for the the day.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್.—ನಾನು ಹೋಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ತಾವು ಏನು ಬೇಕಾದರೂ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I name the member for suspension from the service of the House.

Motion to suspend the Services of Sri Vatal Nagaraj from the Session

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—I move :

"That the Hon. Member Sri Vatal Nagaraj be suspended from the service of the House for the rest of the current session."

(Several members stood up)

Mr. SPEAKER.—Unless he withdraws, I shall put the motion to the vote of the House.

(Sri Vatal Nagaraj did not withdraw)

Sri S. GOPALA GOWDA.—I rise to a point of order. ಕ್ರಿಯಾಲೋಪ ಏನೆಂದರೆ ಈ ವಿಷಯ ಅಜೆಂಡಾದಲ್ಲಿ ಇದೆಯೇ, ಎಂದು ನಾನು ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ..... ..

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have directed the hon. Member to withdraw. He has refused to withdraw. Then I had to name him. Even now if he withdraws the Hon. Minister may not press his motion. Sri Gopala Gowda may ask him whether he is going to withdraw or not.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ನಾನು ತಮ್ಮ ಪರವಾಗಿ ನಾನು ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜರ ವರನ್ನು ಕೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ತಾವು ಹೊರಗೆ ಹೋಗಬೇಕು ಎಂದರೆ ಹೋಗುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಈಗ ತಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಮನವಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—I do not want permit him to speak. Even now if he withdraws I think the Hon. Minister may not press his motion.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನಾನು ಭಾಷಣ ಮಾಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ತಮ್ಮ ಒಂದು ಸೂಚನೆಯನ್ನು ವಾಪಸ್ಸು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ತಮ್ಮ ಮೂಲಕ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಮಾನ್ಯ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್‌ರವರಿಗೆ ಮಾತನಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಎರಡು ನಿಮಿಷ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಿ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am sorry I cannot agree to it. I have made it quite clear. If the Hon. Member gives notice under proper rule I shall certainly consider it. At this stage I cannot permit him to make a statement.

(Sri Vatal Nagaraj did not withdraw)

MR. SPEAKER.—The question is :

"That the hon. Member Sri Vatal Nagaraj be suspended from the service of the House for the rest of the current session."

The motion was adopted.

(Several members stood up)

MR. SPEAKER.—I adjourn the House for 15 minutes.

The House rose at Fifty Minutes past Two of the Clock and reassembled at Ten Minutes past Three of the Clock.

[MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER in the Chair]

Calling Attention to matters of urgent Public Importance :

re : Setting Fire to Ragi Heaps and other Farm Produce in Kunigal Taluk

SRI G. T. GOVINDA REDDY (Madhugiri).—Sir, I call the attention of the Hon. Chief Minister to setting fire to ragi heaps and other farm produce belonging to families of Kuthurahally village, Kasaba Hobli, Kunigal Taluk.

† SRI VEERENDRA PATIL (Chief Minister).—On 6th December 1969, Sri Venkata Revanaiah, V. P. Chairman, Sri Hombale Thimmiah and Sri Nadakeraiah of Kutharahally complained to the Kunigal Police about burning of ragi haystack on 5th December 1969 by one Balegowda and others causing damage to the tune of Rs. 11,000. Four cases were registered under section 435 I.P.C. against 8 persons including Sri Balegowda. They were arrested and produced before the First Class Magistrate, Tumkur on 7th December 1969 for being remanded to judicial custody. As 7th December 1969 was a Sunday, the Magistrate returned the accused with a direction to enlarge them on bail as offence under section 435 I.P.C. was bailable. They were therefore enlarged on bail.

On 29th December 1969, the V. P. Chairman again presented a complaint that in the midnight Sri Rangaiah and Sri Thimmarayappa, set fire to his ragi haystack causing loss of about Rs. 800. A case was registered under section 435 I. P. C. and the accused arrested and remanded to judicial custody. However, the Court enlarged them on bail the same day. All the five cases have been charge-sheeted and taken on file in the Court of the First Class Magistrate, Tumkur.

On 13th January 1970 and 20th January 1970 again the V. P. Chairman and Sri Javaraiah complained to Police regarding another fire incident.